arXiv:1601.07922v1 [gr-qc] 27 Jan 2016

Einstein-Cartan, Bianchi I and the Hubble Diagram

Sami R. ZouZou[1], André Tilquin[2], Thomas Schücker[3]

Abstract

We try to solve the dark matter problem in the fit between theory and the Hubble diagram of supernovae by allowing for torsion via Einstein-Cartan's gravity and for anisotropy via the axial Bianchi I metric. Otherwise we are conservative and admit only the cosmological constant and dust. The failure of our model is quantified by the relative amount of dust in our best fit: $\Omega_{m0} = 27\,\% \pm 5\,\%$ at 1σ level.

PACS: 98.80.Es, 98.80.Cq
Key-Words: cosmological parameters – supernovae

[1] Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences Exactes, Université Mentouri-Constantine, Algeria
szouzou2000@yahoo.fr
[2]CPPM, Aix-Marseille University, CNRS/IN2P3, 13288 Marseille, France
supported by the OCEVU Labex (ANR-11-LABX-0060) funded by the "Investissements d'Avenir" French government program
tilquin@cppm.in2p3.fr
[3] CPT, Aix-Marseille University, Université de Toulon, CNRS UMR 7332, 13288 Marseille, France
supported by the OCEVU Labex (ANR-11-LABX-0060) funded by the "Investissements d'Avenir" French government program
thomas.schucker@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Today's precision measurements of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) tell us that our universe deviates from maximal space-symmetry at the 10^{-5} level. One geometric model for such deviations, based on the Bianchi I metric, was already proposed in the sixties of last century, see Saunders [1] and earlier references therein. First signals for eccentricity at 1σ level have been found in 2014 by fitting the Bianchi I metric, which solves the Einstein equation with cosmological constant and dust, to the CMB data [2] and to the Hubble diagram [3].

Another signal, equally weak, was found when fitting the Robertson-Walker metric in the Einstein-Cartan theory [4, 5] ([6] for two recent reviews) to the Hubble diagram: There, torsion generated by some half-integer spin density can be an alternative to dark matter within today's error bars [7, 8]: $\Omega_{m0} = 9^{+30}_{-7}\,\%$ at 1σ level.

It seems natural to try and combine these two approaches. Indeed the axial Bianchi I metric has one privileged direction in the sky in which the spin density may want to point.

2 Dynamics

The axial Bianchi I metric, $\mathrm{d}\tau^2 = \mathrm{d}t^2 - a^2\,(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2) - c^2\,\mathrm{d}z^2$, has two (positive) scale factors, $a(t)$ and $c(t)$. It is characterised by four Killing vectors, $\partial/\partial x$, $\partial/\partial y$, $\partial/\partial z$ and $x\,\partial/\partial y - y\,\partial/\partial x$, generating three translations and a rotation around the z axis. We want the connection Γ to be an independent field. However we want it to respect these four Killing vectors,

$$\xi^\alpha\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\,\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial\xi^\lambda}{\partial x^{\bar\lambda}}\,\Gamma^{\bar\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial\xi^{\bar\mu}}{\partial x^\mu}\,\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\bar\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial\xi^{\bar\nu}}{\partial x^\nu}\,\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\bar\nu} + \frac{\partial^2\xi^\lambda}{\partial x^\mu\partial x^\nu} = 0, \tag{1}$$

where the ξ^α, $\alpha = t,\, x,\, y,\, z$, denote the four components of any of these four Killing vectors. We also want the connection to be metric,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}\,g_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\bar\mu}{}_{\mu\lambda}g_{\bar\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\bar\nu}{}_{\nu\lambda}g_{\mu\bar\nu} = 0. \tag{2}$$

The most general metric connection invariant under the three translations and the rotation involves eight arbitrary functions of time, four of which are parity even and the other four are odd. Since the Bianchi I metric is invariant under space inversion we also want the connection to be parity even. Then it can have at most the following non-vanishing components:

$$\Gamma^x{}_{xt} = a'/a, \qquad \Gamma^x{}_{tx} = b/a, \qquad \Gamma^t{}_{xx} = ab, \qquad \Gamma^x{}_{ty} = +\,g, \qquad \Gamma^x{}_{yt} = +\,h, \tag{3}$$

$$\Gamma^y{}_{yt} = a'/a, \qquad \Gamma^y{}_{ty} = b/a, \qquad \Gamma^t{}_{yy} = ab, \qquad \Gamma^y{}_{tx} = -\,g, \qquad \Gamma^y{}_{xt} = -\,h, \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma^z{}_{zt} = c'/c, \qquad \Gamma^z{}_{tz} = d/c, \qquad \Gamma^t{}_{zz} = cd, \qquad \Gamma^t{}_{xy} = +a^2g, \qquad \Gamma^t{}_{yx} = -a^2g, \tag{5}$$

with four arbitrary functions of time: b, d, g and h. To simplify our analysis we eliminate three of these four functions by choosing: $b = a'$, $d = c'$ and $h = -g$. To justify this choice, we note that it entails a completely antisymmetric torsion. We like completely antisymmetric torsion for two reasons:

(i) It has connection geodesics that automatically coincide with metric geodesics.

(ii) It can be generated by Dirac spinors.

So far we have expressed our connection in a holonomic frame $\mathrm{d}x^\mu$ where we denoted it by Γ. It will be convenient to express it in an orthonormal frame $e^a = e^a{}_\mu \, \mathrm{d}x^\mu$ where we denote it by ω. In components we have:

$$\omega^a{}_{b\mu} = e^a{}_\alpha \, \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu} \, e^{-1\,\beta}{}_b + e^a{}_\alpha \, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \, e^{-1\,\alpha}{}_b. \tag{6}$$

In an orthonormal frame the metricity condition (2) is purely algebraic and states that $\omega^a{}_b := \omega^a{}_{b\mu} \, \mathrm{d}x^\mu$ is a 1-form with values in the Lorentz algebra:

$$\omega_{ab\mu} = -\omega_{ba\mu}, \tag{7}$$

where orthonormal (latin) indices are raised and lowered with
$\eta^{ab} = \eta_{ab} = \mathrm{diag}\,(1, -1, -1, -1)$.

Of course we choose $e^a{}_\mu = \mathrm{diag}\,(1, a, a, c)$. Then the non-vanishing components of $\omega^a{}_{b\mu}$ are:

$$\omega^t{}_{xx} = \omega^x{}_{tx} = \omega^t{}_{yy} = \omega^y{}_{ty} = a', \qquad \omega^t{}_{xy} = \omega^x{}_{ty} = ag, \qquad \omega^t{}_{yx} = \omega^y{}_{tx} = -ag, \tag{8}$$

$$\omega^t{}_{zz} = \omega^z{}_{tz} = c', \qquad \omega^x{}_{yt} = -g, \qquad \omega^y{}_{xt} = g. \tag{9}$$

The torsion 2-form $T_a := \eta_{a\tilde{a}} \, (\mathrm{d}e^{\tilde{a}} + \omega^{\tilde{a}}{}_d \, e^d) =: \frac{1}{2} T_{abc} \, e^b \, e^c$ has completely antisymmetric components:

$$T_{txy} = T_{xyt} = T_{ytx} = -2g. \tag{10}$$

The curvature 2-form $R^a{}_b := \mathrm{d}\,\omega^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_f \omega^f{}_b =: \frac{1}{2} R^a{}_{bcd} \, e^c \, e^d$ takes values in the Lorentz algebra and has the following components:

$$R^t{}_{xtx} = R^t{}_{yty} = \frac{a''}{a} + g^2, \qquad R^t{}_{ztz} = \frac{c''}{c}, \qquad R^t{}_{xty} = -R^t{}_{ytx} = g', \tag{11}$$

$$R^x{}_{yxy} = \left(\frac{a''}{a}\right)^2 + g^2, \qquad R^x{}_{zxz} = R^y{}_{zyz} = \frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c}, \qquad R^z{}_{xzy} = -R^z{}_{yzx} = \frac{c'}{c}\, g. \tag{12}$$

For the Ricci tensor $\mathrm{Ric}_{bd} := R^a{}_{bad}$ we find:

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{tt} = -2\,\frac{a''}{a} - \frac{c''}{c} - 2\,g^2, \qquad \mathrm{Ric}_{xy} = -\mathrm{Ric}_{yx} = g' + \frac{c'}{c}\, g, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{xx} = \mathrm{Ric}_{yy} = \frac{a''}{a} + \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c} + 2\,g^2, \qquad \mathrm{Ric}_{zz} = \frac{c''}{c} + 2\,\frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c}. \tag{14}$$

The curvature scalar is:

$$\mathrm{R} = -4\,\frac{a''}{a} - 2\,\frac{c''}{c} - 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 - 4\,\frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c} - 6\,g^2, \tag{15}$$

and the Einstein tensor $G_{ab} := \mathrm{Ric}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{R}\,\eta_{ab}$ has components:

$$G_{tt} = \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 + 2\,\frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c} + g^2, \qquad G_{xy} = -G_{yx} = g' + \frac{c'}{c}\,g, \tag{16}$$

$$G_{xx} = G_{yy} = -\,\frac{a''}{a} - \frac{c''}{c} - \frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c} - g^2, \qquad G_{zz} = -2\,\frac{a''}{a} - \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 - 3\,g^2. \tag{17}$$

Finally we can write down Einstein's equation, $G_{ab} - \Lambda\,\eta_{ab} = 8\pi\,G\,\tau_{ba}$ for dust with mass density ρ and $\tau_{ab} = \mathrm{diag}\,(\rho,\,0,\,0,\,0)$:

$$(tt) \qquad \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 + 2\,\frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c} + g^2 - \Lambda = 8\pi\,G\,\rho, \tag{18}$$

$$(xx) \qquad \frac{a''}{a} + \frac{c''}{c} + \frac{a'}{a}\,\frac{c'}{c} + g^2 - \Lambda = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$(zz) \qquad 2\,\frac{a''}{a} + \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 + 3\,g^2 - \Lambda = 0, \tag{20}$$

$$(xy) \qquad g' + \frac{c'}{c}\,g = 0. \tag{21}$$

The last equation integrates to $g = \pm\sqrt{\kappa}\,c_0/c$. Note that the difference of the xx and zz components implies that g must vanish in the isotropic case, $a = c$. However the limit of κ as the Hubble stretch h_{x0} (defined below) tends to zero is not continuous.

By Cartan's equation, the parity even and completely antisymmetric torsion is sourced by a spin density s parallel to the z direction and Cartan's equation reduces to $g = 4\pi\,G\,s$.

The first derivative of the tt component yields, upon use of the other components, the covariant conservation of energy:

$$8\pi G\left[\rho' + \left(2\,\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{c'}{c}\right)\rho\right] = -4\kappa\,\frac{c_0^2\,c'}{c^3}\,. \tag{22}$$

Let us use the following notations: the directional and the mean Hubble parameters:

$$H_x := \frac{a'}{a}\,, \quad H_z := \frac{c'}{c}\,, \quad H := \tfrac{1}{3}\,(2H_x + H_z), \tag{23}$$

and the Hubble stretches h_x and h_z defined by:

$$H_x =: H\,(1 + h_x), \quad H_z =: H\,(1 + h_z) = H\,(1 - 2h_x). \tag{24}$$

The last equation results from $2h_x + h_z = 0$. We also use the dimensionless functions of time:

$$\Omega_\Lambda := \frac{\Lambda}{3H^2}\,, \quad \Omega_m := \frac{8\pi G\,\rho}{3H^2}\,, \quad \Omega_\kappa := \frac{\kappa\,(c_0/c)^2}{3H^2}\,. \tag{25}$$

With these we have the following system of 5 ordinary, first order differential equations:

$$\frac{a'}{a} = H\,(1 + h_x), \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{c'}{c} = H\,(1 - 2h_x), \tag{27}$$

$$(xx)\quad 2H' - H'h_x - H\,h_x' + 3H^2[1 - h_x + h_x^2] = 3H^2\left(\Omega_{\Lambda 0}\,\frac{H_0^2}{H^2} - \Omega_{\kappa 0}\,\frac{c_0^2 H_0^2}{c^2 H^2}\right), \tag{28}$$

$$(zz)\quad 2H' + 2H'h_x + 2H\,h_x' + 3H^2[1 + 2h_x + h_x^2] = 3H^2\left(\Omega_{\Lambda 0}\,\frac{H_0^2}{H^2} - 3\,\Omega_{\kappa 0}\,\frac{c_0^2 H_0^2}{c^2 H^2}\right), \tag{29}$$

$$(\text{cons.})\quad \Omega_m' + \left[2\,\frac{H'}{H} + 3\,H\right]\Omega_m = -4H\,(1 - 2h_x)\,\Omega_{\kappa 0}\,\frac{c_0^2 H_0^2}{c^2 H^2}\,, \tag{30}$$

for 5 unknown functions of time: a, c, H, h_x, Ω_m; with 5 initial conditions: $a_0 = 1$, $c_0 = 1, H_0$, h_{x0}, Ω_{m0} and one external parameter: $\Omega_{\kappa 0}$. Note that the initial conditions a_0 and c_0 can be chosen arbitrarily (positive) and by the tt component of Einstein's equation today we have

$$\Omega_{\Lambda 0} = 1 - \Omega_{m0} + \Omega_{\kappa 0} - h_{x0}^2. \tag{31}$$

We were unable to solve the system analytically and asked Runge & Kutta for their kind help. We checked their numerical solution in the torsionless case, $\kappa = 0$, against the known analytical solution [3].

3 Kinematics

The Hubble diagram is the parametric plot of the apparent luminosity ℓ as a function of redshift z and as a function of the direction $(\theta,\, \varphi)$ of the incoming photons emitted at time $t_{-1}(\theta,\, \varphi)$ by a Super Nova Ia. As the emission time is not observable, this is the parameter to be eliminated. The absolute luminosity L of all Super Novae Ia is supposed to be the same.

As the torsion is completely antisymmetric, it modifies the dynamics of the metric but not the geodesics. Therefore we can take the formulas for direction, redshift and apparent luminosity from the literature [1, 3]:

Let us denote the unit vector pointing towards the Super Nova by

$$A := a_0\,\cos\varphi\,\sin\theta, \tag{32}$$

$$B := a_0\,\sin\varphi\,\sin\theta, \tag{33}$$

$$C := a_0\qquad\cos\theta, \tag{34}$$

and the arrival time of the photons of all Super Novae (today) by $t_0 = 0$. Put $a_0 := a(t_0) = a(0) = 1$, $a_{-1} := a(t_{-1})$, ..., and define the function

$$W(t) := \left(\frac{A^2 + B^2}{a(t)^2} + \frac{C^2}{c(t)^2}\right)^{-1/2}. \tag{35}$$

Then solving the geodesic equations for the photons in an axial Bianchi I metric, we obtain the redshift:

$$z := \frac{W_0}{W_{-1}} - 1 = \sqrt{\frac{A^2 + B^2}{a_{-1}^2} + \frac{C^2}{c_{-1}^2}} - 1\,, \tag{36}$$

and the apparent luminosity:

$$\ell = \frac{L}{4\pi} \frac{a_0\, W_{-1}^5}{a_{-1}^2 c_{-1}\, W_0^4\, \Delta}\,, \tag{37}$$

with:

$$\Delta := \left[(A^2 + B^2) I_x I_z + C^2 I_x^2\right] / a_0^2, \quad I_x := -a_0^3 \int_{t_{-1}}^{t_0} \frac{W^3}{a^2 c^2}\,\mathrm{d}t, \quad I_z := -a_0^3 \int_{t_{-1}}^{t_0} \frac{W^3}{a^4}\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{38}$$

To compute the emission time $t_{-1}(z, \theta, \varphi)$ for a given direction and redshift we have to invert the numerical function $W(t_{-1})$ in any fixed direction. Note that there are cases where one of the scale factors goes through a minimum. Then $W(t_{-1})$ is not invertible for certain directions, the apparent luminosity becomes a double-valued function of redshift [9] and the Hubble diagram is a truly parametric plot.

4 Data analysis

This analysis is very similar to [3]. Only a quick reminder is given here.

To test the Bianchi 1 - Cartan hypothesis we use the type 1a supernovae Hubble diagram with the Union 2 data sample [10] containing 557 supernovae up to a redshift of 1.4 and the Joint Light curve Analysis (JLA) [11] data sample containing 740 supernovae up to a redshift of 1.3 and 258 common supernovae with Union 2. The celestial coordinates of the Supernovae are obtained from the SIMBAD database [12].

For Union 2 sample, the published magnitudes at maximum luminosity are marginalized over the time stretching of the light curve and the color at maximum brightness. Statistical and systematical errors on the associated magnitudes are provided by the full covariance matrices. The JLA published data provide the observed uncorrected peak magnitude (m_{peak}), the time stretching ($X1$) and the color (C) with the full statistical and systematical covariance matrices. The total χ^2 reads:

$$\chi^2 = \Delta M^T V^{-1} \Delta M, \tag{39}$$

Where ΔM is the vector of differences between expected and reconstructed magnitudes at maximum and V is the full covariance matrix.

The expected magnitude is written as $m_e(z) = m_s - 2.5 \log \ell(z)$ where m_s is a normalization parameter. For the combined sample (1007 supernovae), we use two different normalization parameters (one for each sample) because of the different light curve calibrations.

The apparent luminosity ℓ is computed in two steps. For each set of cosmological parameters, we solve the differential equations (26-30) by the help of a Rugge Kutta algorithm during the minimization procedure. To keep numerical instabilities low we choose a time step of 1000 years. The two scale factors, $a(t)$ and $c(t)$, are stored in the memory for the next step. Then, for a given privileged direction and for each supernova at a given redshift and angles θ and φ with respect to privileged direction, we scan the emission time of the photon with equation (36) up to the supernova redshift. At the same time we compute both integrals I_x, I_z (38) by a direct summation using formula (35) and the corresponding scale factors from the previous step. Finally we compute the apparent luminosity by the use of (37).

We use the MINUIT package [13] to minimize the χ^2. We choose the SIMPLEX algorithm known as Nelder-Mead method [14] for minimization, because it is well suited for nonlinear optimization problems without knowledge of derivatives. The numerical iterative minimization is thus less sensitive to numerical instabilities.

We search for privileged directions by scanning the celestial sphere in step of 4×4 square degrees in right ascension and declination to keep the computing time within reasonable amounts, more than 200 000 hours on a single CPU. For each direction we minimize the χ^2 with respect to the cosmological parameters Ω_{m0}, $\Omega_{\kappa 0}$, h_{x0} and the nuisance parameter m_s.

Figure 1 shows the confidence level contours in arbitrary color codes around the eigendirections on the celestial sphere for Union 2, JLA and the combined sample. Smooth contours have been obtained by the use of a Multi Layer Perceptrons (MLP) neural network [15][16] with 2 hidden layers of 40 neurons each trained on the results of the fit. Black points in figure 1 shows the supernovae positions, black (respectively green) specks the main (respectively secondary) direction. Notice the back-to-back symmetry due to the space reflexions symmetry of the Bianchi I metric. Compared to figure 1 in [3], the contours are very simular exept that the main direction for Union 2 becomes the secondary one. This is not unexpected because the models are different and statistical significance is only 1σ. Furthermore, the Hubble stretch h_{x0} has the opposite sign (Table 1).

Table 1 shows our results of the fit and the 1σ error. As the SIMPLEX algorithm does not provide any errors, we compute them for each parameter p_i by solving numerically the equation $\chi^2(p_i) = \chi^2_{\min} + 1$ where $\chi^2_{\min}$ is the minimum of χ^2 on all others parameters. To do that we scan each parameter around the minimum and interpolate $\chi^2(p_i)$ by a polynomial function to smooth the numerical instabilities and estimate the 1σ error.

Comparing our results with those of Table 1 in [3], we find them statistically compatible. The present minimum χ^2 is better by about one unit which is expected with one more degree of freedom, h_{x0}. For all three samples, the added parameters describing torsion, $\Omega_{\kappa 0}$, and anisotropy, h_{x0}, are statistically compatible with zero. Therefore supernovae alone are not accurate enough to detect these two features.

The last column of Table 1 shows expected errors for one year of LSST. The speed up the final analysis we randomly simulate only one tenth of the total expected number of supernovae for one year of LSST (50000) in 20000 square degrees with redshift between 0.4 and 0.8. As fiducial cosmology we take the JLA experimental results. For the analysis we use a magnitude error of 0.12 and a redshift error of $0.01(1+z)$ propagated to the magnitude error. The final errors on the magnitude are then scaled down by $\sqrt{10}$ in order to emulate the

1 year LSST statistic. No systematic errors have been used and we did not try to compute contours, which would have required about 1 million hours of CPU. As shown in Table 1 the improvement on the errors scales down approximately as the square root of the number of supernovae.

In the future, this analysis would have to be improved to deal with numerical instabilities and computing time. We could either linearize the solution of the differential equations or if not possible use a neural network to parameterize the supernovae luminosity with respect to θ, Ω_{m0}, $\Omega_{\kappa 0}$, h_{x0} and redshift.

	Union II	JLA	Combined	LSST (1 year)
ascension(°)	168 ± 33	161 ± 42	120 ± 50	± 4
declination(°)	26 ± 36	-49 ± 20	-36 ± 36	± 2
Ω_{m0}	0.24 ± 0.05	0.27 ± 0.05	0.27 ± 0.05	± 0.002
$\Omega_{\kappa 0}$	$0.02^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$	$0.^{+0.04}_{-0.0}$	$0.^{+0.02}_{-0.0}$	± 0.002
h_{x0}	0.009 ± 0.12	$0.01^{+0.006}_{-0.01}$	$0.005^{+0.003}_{-0.005}$	$\pm 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$\chi^2_{\min}$	529.4	699.5	1001.7	

Table 1: 1σ errors for the Bianchi I Cartan cosmological parameters for Union 2, JLA and combined samples and for one year of LSST.

5 Conclusion

The cosmological principle allows for a spin density pointing in the "time direction". In Einstein-Cartan's gravity, this spin density generates a torsion component in the same direction. At 1σ confidence level, this torsion component can solve the dark matter problem in the Hubble diagram [7, 8]. Our motivation for this work was the hope that relaxing the cosmological principle and allowing the spin density to point into a privileged direction in the sky could improve this confidence level.

Today's observational data have clearly decided – after 200 000 CPU hours – not to support our hope.

Acknowledgements: This work has been carried out thanks to the support of the OCEVU Labex (ANR-11-LABX-0060) and the A*MIDEX project (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02) funded by the "Investissements d'Avenir" French government program managed by the ANR.

References

[1] P. T. Saunders, "Observations in some simple cosmological models with shear," Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. **142** (1969) 213.

[2] P. Cea, "The Ellipsoidal Universe in the Planck Satellite Era," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **441** (2014) 1646 [arXiv:1401.5627 [astro-ph.CO]].

[3] T. Schücker, A. Tilquin and G. Valent, "Bianchi I meets the Hubble diagram," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **444** (2014) 2820 [arXiv:1405.6523 [astro-ph.CO]].

[4] É. Cartan, *Sur les variétés à connexion affine et la théorie de la rélativité généralisée (première partie),* Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup. **40** (1923) 325.
(première partie, suite), Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup. **41** (1924) 1.
(deuxième partie), Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup. **42** (1925) 17.

[5] F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick and J. M. Nester, *General relativity with spin and torsion: Foundations and prospects,* Rev. Mod. Phys. **48** (1978) 393.

[6] S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase, C. Stornaiolo, *Geometric classification of the torsion tensor in space-time,* Annalen Phys. **10** (2001) 713. [gr-qc/0101038].
I. L. Shapiro, *Physical aspects of the space-time torsion,* Phys. Rept. **357** (2002) 113. [hep-th/0103093].

[7] A. Tilquin and T. Schücker, "Torsion, an alternative to dark matter?," Gen. Rel. Grav. **43** (2011) 2965 [arXiv:1104.0160 [astro-ph.CO]].

[8] T. Schücker and S. R. ZouZou, "On a weak Gauss law in general relativity and torsion," Class. Quant. Grav. **29** (2012) 245009 [arXiv:1203.5642 [gr-qc]].

[9] T. Schücker and A. Tilquin, "From Hubble diagrams to scale factors," Astron. Astrophys. **447** (2006) 413 [astro-ph/0506457].

[10] R. Amanullah, C. Lidman, D. Rubin, G. Aldering, P. Astier, K. Barbary, M. S. Burns and A. Conley *et al.*, "Spectra and Light Curves of Six Type Ia Supernovae at $0.511 < z < 1.12$ and the Union2 Compilation," Astrophys. J. **716** (2010) 712 [arXiv:1004.1711 [astro-ph.CO]].

[11] M. Betoule *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], "Improved cosmological constraints from a joint analysis of the SDSS-II and SNLS supernova samples," Astron. Astrophys. **568** (2014) A22 [arXiv:1401.4064 [astro-ph.CO]].

[12] SIMBAD astronomical database: http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/

[13] "The ROOT analysis package," http://root.cern.ch/drupal/

[14] John Nelder and Roger Mead, " A simplex method for function minimization ", Computer Journal, vol. 7, no 4 (1965) 308

[15] Frank Rosenblatt, "A Probabilistic Model for Information Storage and Organization in the Brain, " Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Psychological Review, vol. 65, no. 6 (1958) 386.

[16] "TMultiLayerPerceptron: Designing and using Multi-Layer Perceptrons with ROOT, " http://cp3.irmp.ucl.ac.be/∼delaere/MLP/



Figure 1: Confidence level contours of the privileged directions in arbitrary color codes for Bianchi I Einstein-Cartan spacetimes. Black points represent supernova positions. Note the accumulation of supernovae in the equatorial plane. The blue line is the galactic plane. Black specks represent the main privileged direction while the green specks show the secondary direction.